

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/823,999 | | 03/25/1997 | CAMPBELL ROGERS | MIT7501 | 8072 |
| 23579 | 7590 | 07/27/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| PATREA L PABST PAT | | | | | |
| 400 COLONY SQUARE | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 1200 | | | | | |
| ATLANTA, | GA 303 | 661 | | DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004 | i |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 08/823,999 | ROGERS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Phillip Gambel / | 1644 | | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 21 July 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS **MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

| 1. | | | e brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order. |
|----|-------------|-----|---|
| 2. | | | e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)). |
| 3. | | | least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a stement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)). |
| 4. | | | e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)). |
| 5. | | Th | e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)). |
| 6. | | As | single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and |
| | (a) | | the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. |
| | (b) | | the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. |
| 7. | | Th | e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)). |
| 8. | | Th | e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)). |
| 9. | \boxtimes | Otl | her (including any explanation in support of the above items): |
| | | exa | e claims set forth in the Appendix are not consistent with the claims, filed 1/30/04 (and a duplicate refiled 3/11/04). For ample, current claim 6 should be an independent claim and not a dependent claim. In addition, claim 3, line 2 is missing recitation of "or". The Appendix includes claim 18, while the amendment filed 1/30/04 does not include claim 18. |

PHILLIP (-MBEL Phillip Gambel

Primary Examiner

Applicant is required to carefully review the recitation of the claims under appeal which are set forth in the Appendix.